Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. This involves a bipartisan coverage of the candidates; therefore, Sinclair either needs to (a) present "documentaries" (using the term loosely) from different political parties, or (b) withdraw the decision to present "Stolen Honor.' Without more complete coverage, airing one clearly partisan program is an outrage and an insult to the democratic process.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

This action brings many interested eyes to the decisions of the FCC as well as Sinclair.

Thank you.